Case 3:15-cv-05003-WHO Document 53 Filed 10/26/16 Page 1 of 4

1 2	MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP GREGORY N. PIMSTONE (Bar No. 150203 Email: gpimstone@manatt.com)	
3	JOHN LEBLANC (Bar No. 155842) Email: jleblanc@manatt.com ILEANA M. HERNANDEZ (Bar No. 198906) Email: ihernandez@manatt.com		
4			
5	LEAH R. ADAMS (Bar No. 266645) Email: ladams@manatt.com		
6 7	11355 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064-1614 Telephone: (310) 312-4000 Facsimile: (310) 312-4224		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	CALIFÓRNIA PHYSICIANS' SERVICE dba BLUE		
10	CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSUR COMPANY	ANCE	
11			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	ARAM HOMAMPOUR, JOHN BARTELS, and JON NAKA on behalf of	No. 3:15-cv-05003-WHO	
17	themselves and all others similarly situated,	Hon. William H. Orrick	
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
19	V.	ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS	
20	CALIFORNIA PHYSICIANS' SERVICE dba BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA,		
21	BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE	Trial Date: None set Action filed: October 30, 2015	
22	COMPANY,	2010	
23	Defendant.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET BRIEFING	SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION	
LPS &		DISMISS	

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIO!

TO DISMISS

CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05003-WHO

Plaintiffs Aram Homampour ("Homampour"), John Bartels ("Bartels") and Jon Naka
("Naka") (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants California Physicians' Service dba Blue
Shield of California ("Blue Shield of California") and Blue Shield of California Life and Health
Insurance Company ("Blue Shield Life") (collectively "Defendants") stipulate, pursuant to Civil
Local Rule 6-2, as follows:

- Plaintiff Homampour filed his Complaint against Blue Shield Life on October 30, 2015 and served Blue Shield Life with the Complaint on November 13, 2015.
- On November 2, 2015, this Court set an initial case management conference
- On December 1, 2015, Plaintiff Homampour and Blue Shield Life filed a stipulation, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), to extend the time for Blue Shield Life to respond to
- On December 31, 2015, Plaintiff Homampour and Blue Shield Life filed a stipulation to the filing of a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") and to continue the initial case management conference. (Dkt. No. 14.) On January 4, 2016, the Court entered its Order on the stipulation. (Dkt. No. 15.) In the Order, the Court noted that, "[i]n the event [Blue Shield Life] moves to dismiss the FAC, the Court will entertain a stipulation to hold the Case Management Conference on the same date as the hearing on the motion."
- On January 15, 2016, Plaintiff Homampour filed his FAC, adding Plaintiff Bartels
- On January 21, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation to further continue the initial case management conference to May 24, 2016, and to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the FAC until April 22, 2016. (Dkt. No. 21.) The Court entered its Order on the stipulation on
- The Court thereafter advanced the Initial Case Management Conference to

27

28

1	8. On April 14, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation regarding Plaintiffs' filing of a	
2	Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") and continuing the case management conference to	
3	June 14, 2016. (Dkt. No. 24.) The Court entered its Order on the stipulation on April 29, 2016.	
4	(Dkt. No. 25.)	
5	9. Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint on May 6, 2016. (Dkt. No. 26.)	
6	10. On May 26, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation to set a briefing schedule on	
7	Defendants' forthcoming motion to dismiss the SAC and to continue the June 14, 2016 initial	
8	case management conference to the agreed-upon motion hearing date, August 10, 2016. (Dkt. No	
9	27.) The Court entered its Order on the stipulation that day. (Dkt. No. 28.)	
10	11. Defendants' motion to dismiss was heard on August 10, 2016, and the motion was	
11	taken under submission. The Court stated in its minutes that it would grant Plaintiffs leave to file	
12	an amended complaint two weeks after the parties' scheduled September 19, 2016 mediation.	
13	(Dkt. No. 41.)	
14	12. On August 31, 2016, the Court issued its Order granting in part Defendants'	
15	motion to dismiss. In the Order, the Court gave Plaintiffs 20 days to amend their complaint. (Dk	
16	No. 43.)	
17	13. On September 2, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation to allow Plaintiffs until	
18	October 3, 2016 to file their Third Amended Complaint ("TAC"). (Dkt. No. 44.) The Court	
19	entered its Order on the stipulation on September 6, 2016. (Dkt. No. 45.)	
20	14. On October 3, 2016, Plaintiffs filed their TAC. (Dkt. No. 46.)	
21	15. On October 17, 2016, the parties' counsel met and conferred by telephone.	
22	Defendants informed Plaintiffs that Defendants intend to file a motion to dismiss the TAC.	
23	16. The parties agreed that Defendants' motion to dismiss will be heard on	
24	Wednesday, December 14, 2016, at 2:00 p.m., or a date convenient to the Court. The parties also	
25	agreed to stipulate to a briefing schedule for Defendants' motion to dismiss, due to the fact that	
26	the parties will also be briefing a motion to dismiss in the related action of <i>Barbor v. California</i>	
27		
28	3	

1 Physicians' Service, Case No. 3:16-cv-01773-WHO, and because of the upcoming Thanksgiving 2 holiday. 3 17. The parties agreed upon the following briefing schedule: Plaintiffs' opposition will 4 be due on November 14, 2016, and Defendants' reply will be due on December 5, 2016. 5 SO STIPULATED. 6 7 Dated: October 19, 2016 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 8 9 By: /s/ Ileana M. Hernandez **Gregory Pimstone** 10 John LeBlanc Ileana M. Hernandez 11 Leah R. Adams Attorneys for Defendants 12 CALIFORNIA PHYSICIANS' SERVICE dba BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA, 13 BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE 14 **COMPANY** 15 Dated: October 19, 2016 KANTOR & KANTOR LLP 16 17 By: /s/ Timothy J. Rozelle Glenn R. Kantor 18 Timothy J. Rozelle Attorneys for Plaintiffs 19 ARAM HÖMAMPÖUR, JOHN BARTELS, and JON NAKA 20 21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 October 26 23 Dated: . 2016 24 25 Holorable William H. Orrick United States District Judge 26 27 28

Case 3:15-cv-05003-WHO Document 53 Filed 10/26/16 Page 4 of 4

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES